Filed 04/22/2008

Page 1 of 3

Case 3:08-cv-00471-JAH-LSP Document 9-2

28

1 2

3

4

5

7

9

1011

12

13

14

16

15

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Plaintiff Barbara Hubbard ("Plaintiff") and defendant C.V. Center, Inc. ("C.V. Center") hereby jointly move this Court for an order extending time to answer or move to dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint ("the Complaint").

I.

## INTRODUCTION

Plaintiff filed the Complaint on March 13, 2008 against C.V. Center and seven other defendants. C.V. Center was through its agent for service of process by personal service on April 2, 2008. C.V. Center's response to the Complaint is due April 22, 2008. The parties have agreed that good cause exists for the Court to extend time for C.V. Center to respond to the Complaint, as set forth below.

II.

## GOOD CAUSE EXISTS FOR THE COURT TO EXTEND THE TIME FOR C.V. CENTER TO ANSWER OR MOVE TO DISMISS

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 6(b), this Court has the discretion to extend time for C.V. Center to respond to the Complaint. Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b) ("When by these rules...an act is required or allowed to be done at or within a specified time, the court for cause shown may at any time in its discretion...order the period enlarged if request therefore is made before the expiration of the period originally prescribed...."). The parties have agreed that extending the time for C.V. Center will conserve the Court's and the parties' resources, and therefore believe that good cause exists for the Court to extend time.

The Complaint alleges thirty-two (32) causes of action. According to the Complaint, defendants operate and maintain facilities of public accommodation, which Plaintiff alleges do not meet the requirements of the Americans with Disabilities Act (42 U.S.C. §§ 12101 et seq.) and related statutes regarding accessibility for disabled individuals. Due to the complexity of the allegations, C.V. Center and its counsel require additional time to review the Complaint and prepare

1	C.V. Center's response thereto. Plaintiff has agreed to extend C.V. Center's time to
2	respond to the Complaint for twenty-five (25) days, to and including May 15, 2008.
3	This is the first extension of time provided to C.V. Center to respond to the
4	Complaint, and the parties agree that this extension is not sought for the purpose of
5	improper delay, and will not prejudice Plaintiff.
6	III.
7	CONCLUSION
8	The parties have stipulated that extending the time for C.V. Center to
9	respond to the Complaint will conserve the parties' and the Court's resources. The
0	parties therefore believe that good cause exists for the Court to exercise its discretion
1	under Rule 6(b) to extend the time to respond to the Complaint. Accordingly, the
2	parties jointly move this Court to extend the time.
3	
4	Dated: April 22, 2008 SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP
5	
6	By: <u>/s/ Aimee G. Mackay</u> E-mail: amackay@sidley.com
7	Attorney for Defendant
8	C.V. CENTER, INC.
9	Dated: April 22, 2008 DISABLED ADVOCACY GROUP, APLC
20	
21	By: /s/ Lynn Hubbard, III
22	E-mail: usdcso@hubslaw.com Attorney for Plaintiff
23	BARBARA HUBBARD
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	3